The Grange in Nebraska, 1872-1911

by

R. Douglas Hurt

The Nebraska Grange was founded little more that six years after Oliver Hudson Kelly toured the South as an agent for the Department of Agriculture surveying the conditions in that war torn region. Kelly began his tour in January, 1866, and returned to Washington in late April convinced that the depressed conditions of the southern farmers could not await the whims of politicians for relief. He was also certain that northern and southern farmers had little knowledge of each other's needs and ended his tour believing that many of the problems of all farmers stemmed from inadequate educational aud social opportunities. In order to band farmers together nationwide, help restore order, and improve the cultural level of the agrarian class, Kelly began developing plans for a secret agricultural organization modeled after the Masonic Lodge. By November, 1867, his plans were nearly complete and he sent out over 300 circulars proposing the creation of such a secret agricultural association. A month later on December 4, Kelly and six associates constituted themselves the National Grange of the Patrons of Husbandry, Almost immediately they proceeded to organize the first subordinate Grange, Potomac No. 1, to serve as a model and school of instruction. The following year the first permanent subordinate Grange was organized in Minnesota and in February, 1869. Minnesota became the first to have a State Grange.¹

During the time that the Grange was becoming established in Minnesota the population of Nebraska was rapidly increasing. Indeed, the states of Nebraska, Kansas, and Minnesota and the Dakota Territory grew in population from about 300,000 in 1860 to nearly 1,000,000 by 1870. Most of the newcomers in this region were farmers taking advantage of the homestead law of 1862. As the rural population of Nebraska grew and the Grange deputies intensified publicity eampaigns and organized farmers in surrounding states, Nebraska agrarians began to take interest in the Grange. On July 7, 1871, I. H. Painter of Harlan County wrote Kelly requesting information about the Patrons of Husbandry. Painter declared in his letter, "Our citizens are anxious to unite with anything almost that will encourage agriculture." Kelly supplied Painter with literature which convinced the Nebraskan that the Grange was the kind of organization Nebraska farmers needed. Painter hoped to see "glorious results" from affiliation with the Grange, and in August, 1871, a Grange organizer informed Kelly that a Grange had been established in Nebraska. However, not until January 1, 1872, did Harlan County Grange No. 1 formally apply for membership, thus becoming the first Grange which the National Grange recognized. Groveland No. 2 succeeded the Harlan County Grange on February 9, and fourteen others quickly followed. On August 2, General William D. Wilson, editor of the *Iowa Homestead and Farm Journal* and a deputy of the Iowa Grange, organized the Patrons of Husbandry on the state level in Nebraska. By the end of the year, forty-nine subordinate Granges were functioning in the state.²

The Order grew rapidly in Nebraska and by May, 1873, 100 Granges had been organized. Despite that tremendous increase in number two newspapers supportive of the Grange, the Nebraska Advertizer and the Central Union Agriculturist, charged that its purpose was not generally understood. Many Nebraska farmers and businessmen believed the main object of the organization was to withhold business from local retail merchants in order to patronize those dealers who operated on a volume basis and therefore sold goods more cheaply. The Advertizer declared this belief erroneous since the farmers had merely banded together as "mutual protectors" in order to prevent monopolies from taking advantage of them. It then asked, "Merchants have their boards of trade, professions their clubs, mechanics their unions, why not farmers?^a

While the Advertizer recognized that local business men would have to sell at a lower margin because of Grange commercial activities, it did not believe the town merchants could be superceded entirely because farmers could not purchase all of their necessities from wholesale houses. Furthermore, the Advertizer viewed the Grange agent as just another middleman, and one who was treated unfairly at that since Grangers expected him to be able to fill all their orders at a moment's notice. Some assumed the agent was willing to bargain over the price and extend to the farmer such courtesies as a cradle free of charge with the sale of a harvester in order to guarantee a sale. Such requests were so frequent that the Nebraska Patron assumed it was only a matter of time before someone would ask an agent to "throw in a boy" to operate the machine as a condition for the sale of a corn planter.⁴

Still, the fears of local merchants over the loss of business because of the Grange were not without foundation. The farmers

quickly recognized the potential savings which Grange cooperatives offered. As a result, the anti-middleman feature of the Grange attracted more members than all other advantages combined. In early April, 1874, the Charter Oak Grange near Grant, Nebraska, had \$200 worth of groceries on stock for sale to members at 10 per cent over wholesale. The Excelsior Grange sold groceries at the same rate and dry goods at 5 per cent above wholesale; even at these prices both Granges undersold local merchants. The Charter Oak grocery business brought price-cutting retaliation from the local grocers in an attempt to lure farmers away from the Grange enterprise and destroy competition. This action led one Granger to remind his fellow Patrons to support the Grange store-an indication that not all farmers were willing to deny merchants their business if prices dropped below those at the Grange store. When Grange cooperative stores were functioning, though, savings to farmers wcre often substantial. Some Nebraska Patrons were able to purchase Singer sewing machines for one-fourth off the retail price; others purchased Cooper wagons which normally sold for \$140 for \$75, and one farmer bought a cultivator for \$32.50 in 1873 only to buy another the following year as a Granger for \$22.5

A Brownsville merchant tried to explain that retail prices were somewhat higher than prices at Grange stores because of the expense incurred from spoilage, breakage, risks on poor ereditors, and stock of goods which might not be sold. But such explanation was to little avail since most Grangers believed retailers willfully overcharged them. Nemaha County businessmen attempted to win favorable Granger opinion and their business by catering to the organization in advertisements urging them to buy "Granger Cigars" and patronize the "Granger Saloon" which allegedly sold the "best liquors provided at Granger prices."⁶

Closely associated with Grange efforts to sell grocery and mereantile goods was its attempt to manufacture farm implements and thus force a price reduction on the part of the major implement manufacturers. After 1872, the desire to reduce the price of farm machinery was without a doubt a major cause for the growth of the Grange. In the early seventies the price of reapers was usually fixed at \$200 to \$225, and the Grange attempted to obtain lower prices on those machines by making volume purchases directly from the manufacturers. When these efforts failed, the Grange decided to go into the business itself and break the "Harvester Ring" by purchasing the patent rights to the Werner harvester and making arrangements to manufacture the machine in Nebraska, Iowa. and Minnesota. The Nebraska harvester plant, located at Fremont, began production in the summer of 1874. The Grange sold its harvesters to members for \$140, cash on delivery. As a result of that enterprise, farm implement manufacturers reduced the price of harvesters all across the state, and the railroads began to grant cheaper transportation rates on eastern machinery. A parallel attempt to establish a plant for the manufacture of corn cultivators at Plattsmouth met with less success; the factory produced about twice as many eultivators than it could sell and consequently suffered a net loss totaling over $$5,000.^7$

About the same time that the Plattsmouth plant was failing, Nebraska Grangers began to accuse state agent William McCraig of using money sent to him for the purchase of machinery for his private enjoyment. In July, when the debt of the Order reached \$12,000, the State Grange removed McCraig from his position and appointed an executive committee to pay the creditors of the organization. Ironically, the Nebraska farmers who had joined the Grange in hopes of economie betterment now found themselves contributing to the state coffers in order to rectify the mistakes of their leader. Quite naturally, the Advertizer asked, "Does it pay to be a Granger?" Unfortunately for the Order in Nebraska many farmers answered, "No." The indebtedness of the organization was, of course, too great to be met with donations from members so the State Grange appealed to the National Grange for aid. Because the National Grange was impressed with the manufacturing efforts of the Nebraska Patrons, and because it felt that bankruptcy would have a devastating effect on the entire Order as well as allow monopolists to gloat over the failure, the executive committee granted the State Grange \$3,500 credit to help ease its financial strain. Master William B. Porter along with the other members of the state body signed a note promising repayment.⁶

Had the Nebraska farmers not suffered substantial economie loss from the 1874 grasshopper plague. Grangers might have been more vigilant over their manufacturing interests. Crop loss due to the grasshoppers was nearly total in thirty-four counties, and once again, the State Grange asked the National body for financial aid. The executive committee of the National Grange responded with a \$2,000 donation which it hoped would tide the Nebraska Grangers over until the next harvest. The State Grange distributed most of that money in the spring of 1875 for the purchase of seed. The National Grange also authorized Nebraska to draw upon the Louisiana State Grange for \$1,000 and to receive \$750 in donations from the Ohio State Grange. When agricultural conditions were slow to improve, the National Grange granted a special \$3,500 loan to Nebraska in 1876 and canceled the previous year's note to alleviate the indebtedness of the State Grange.⁹

Still, the work of the Nebraska Grange did not totally succumb to hardship or failure. In fact, the Grange achieved one of its major goals--the drafting of a new constitution which provided for railroad regulation. This constitution, written and adopted in 1875 and modeled after the 1870 Illinois constitution (a Granger document), enabled the legislature to regulate the railroads in the state. Although the railway interests bitterly opposed the provision for railroad regulation and one Nebraskan even referred to it as the "red flag of communism," the public overwhelmingly approved the new constitution. Yet, midwestern agrarian demands for strict railroad regulation never became an overriding concern of Nebraska Grangers during the 1870's largely because railway transportation was underdeveloped in the state throughout the decade, and because the desire for railroad expansion tended to curb radical demands for controls. This is not to suggest that Nebraska Grangers turned their backs on the railroad problem; they did not. When Nebraska Grangers met in convention, they passed resolutions denouncing railroad practices in other states which served as warnings to railway companies not to introduce similar practices in Nebraska. A vigorous attack on the railroads in Nebraska did not come for nearly a decade, and in 1890 the People's party, not the Grange, provided the leadership for railroad regulation.¹⁰

The failure of the manufacturing enterprises, insufficient capital, over-expansion, the grasshopper plague, and an inadequate money supply that prevented Grangers from patronizing their own stores all contributed to the dissolution of the Nebraska Grange. Dissatisfaction with the Order was clearly evident less than three years after the founding of the state organization, when the *Nebraska Patron* urged Grangers to keep interest in the Grange alive by promoting the discussion of practical farming questions at meetings. Most of the farmers, the *Patron* maintained, desired more from the Order than ritual and ceremony. Unfortunately for the organization, many Granges were allowing political matters to "mingle" in the meetings. Partisan politics was the road to ruin, and the *Patron* warned Grangers to avoid political entanglements.¹¹

The organizational problems of the subordinate Granges were general throughout the state. Eureka Grange No. 368 was not alone in complaining that a lack of interest made meetings dull. All too often business consumed the meetings, and the lectures were seldom beneficial to the farmers. Nor was sufficient time allotted for social intercourse. Consequently, many Grangers became skentical about the advantages of membership. Nebraska farmers who tended to judge things interms of their practicality now asked Grangers, "What have you made by belonging?" The answers became increasingly less satisfying. And, when members did not voluntarily attend Grange meetings, the West Butler Grange attempted economic coercion. It fined any officer twenty-five cents for an unexcused absence, threatened members with expulsion if dues remained unpaid for six months, and finally resolved that "a continued absence from the Grange should be sufficient cause for expulsion from membership." The Excelsior Grange, in contrast, tried to bribe members into attendance by placing all delinquent members in good standing if they paid their dues only for the last quarter. In early 1878, P. E. Beardsley, secretary of the Nebraska State Grange, informed the Excelsior organization that it was one year arrears in dues and would not be considered in good standing until all non-dues paying members were expelled and at least thirteen dues payers were maintained on the rollbook. All efforts to boost Grange attendance failed and after 1877 the Nebraska Grange ceased to exist on the state and local levels.¹²

The Nebraska Grange remained dormant for ten years. Finally, on March 22, 1887, the National Grange successfully called a state meeting for the purpose of reorganizing the Order. In July, 1886, L. C. Whitney, a National Grange deputy, had taken to the field to revive interest in the organization and by late October had located 5 Granges struggling for existence with a total membership of less than 80. By February, 1887, Whitney had visited 16 counties, mailed 700 publicity letters, and distributed 2,500 circulars. The results were almost immediate. A month later 26 Grauges with over 600 members were functioning in 13 counties. This was sufficient strength to warrant the reorganization of the state body. Accordingly, a convention met in Hastings where Whitney called for unity, urged Grange organization on a large scale in the northern and western protions of the state. and cautioned Patrons to remember that ecoperation did not mean buying at reduced prices while selling at advanced rates but rather systematic buying and selling, economy, and sound business practices.¹⁰

On March 23, 1887, the Nebraska State Grange was formally reorganized but with 600 fewer subordinate Granges than during the Order's zenith in the mid-seventies. By the following November membership totaled nearly 1,000. The Granges that met often and had good literary programs generally showed the largest increases in membership. The isolation of many Granges was still a handicap since some organizations were 30 to 40 miles from a sister organization and communication among them was difficult at best, but many Patrons displayed a "we have come to stay" spirit. However, the drought which began ou the Great Plains in 1887 and lasted for nearly a decade drove many farmers away from the conservatism of the Grange and into what was then considered radical political activity. The results were catastrophic for the Grange.¹⁴

The Nebraska Grange did not immediately fail for the second time. In fact, the year 1888 promised continued success. Even though there had been no large gain in membership, six new Granges had been organized, business relations had been established with a wholesale grocer in Chicago, and arrangements were made for commission firms in Omaha to handle the sale of Granger livestoek and farm produce. The following year brought the best erops in a decade and with it some of the lowest prices Nebraska farmers had ever received. The return of economic hard times contributed to quarreling within the Granges. An indication of the growing division among Grangers occurred when J. F. Black, Master of Red Willow Grange No. 28, was charged with conduct injurious to the best interest of the Patron of Husbandry. Three members of the Grange accused Black of ignoring certain banking resolutions and points of order and with using "language and personal insinuations prejudicial to the peace and harmony of the Grange." On April 16, the executive committee of the State Grange found Black guilty of those charges and suspended him for one year. It also expelled him indefinitely for having written "malicious and obscene letters" regarding the character of L. C. Root, the secretary of the State Grange.15

By 1890, the Grange in Nebraska was once more on the verge of collapse. Falling prices, insufficiency of money and credit, and the long haul-short haul freight differential were met with disagreement among members over the proper methods of remedy. Once again many were disappointed with the failure of the cooperative stores and other enterprises to provide substantial savings, and as a result, membership fell faster than it had increased three years earlier. A substantial number of Grangers songht direct political action and joined the People's party. In addition, a severe drought occurred in 1890 causing so great a need in the state for economic relief that the executive committee of the National Grange authorized the printing of circulars asking for help. The subsequent monetary douations were distributed to those Grange members who were most destitute, yet half of the Nebraska Patrons did not have the means to sustain themselves through the winter and the state Grange exhausted its reserves providing for their relief. All of these factors--low prices, drought, politics, and another depression in 1893 served to retard expansion of Grange activities in the state.¹⁰

In 1894 when Nebraska was experiencing another year of severe drought, Master O. F. Hall admitted that although the Grange was still alive, it was "struggling to hold the fort." Many farmers did not harvest a crop that year, and in the face of the coming winter. thousands fled the state for promises farther west and the Grange in Nebraska disappeared. One Granger, looking back from the perspective of the twentieth century, attributed its demise to the disposition of the members to look to the National Grange to "rain down showers of blessings on them;" they sought "rainbows" rather than self-reliance. As a result, they were "easily manipulated by adverse influences and were soon discouraged." Another Patron believed the Grange failed because of an inadequate supply of currency. Grange stores dealt on a cash basis, and with little money in circulation farmers were unable to sustain those operations. While all of these factors have merit the failure of the Grange in Nebraska can be ascribed to two fundamental causes. First, the Grange failed in 1877 because of careless investments and mismanagement of its affairs. The second failure of the organization in 1894 resulted from the politicizing of a majority of its members. The People's party offered the farmer better chances to achieve a redistribution of the national wealth, destroy monopolies, and purify the political order than did the Patrons of Husbandry.¹⁷

In 1908 the National Grange contemplated "vigorous efforts" to resurreet the Order in Nebraska, but nothing came of those plans because of a statewide lack of interest and the inability to recruit organizers. Consequently, the Nebraska Grange remained dormant for another three years. Not until 1911, after seventeen years of inactivity, did the Nebraska Grange reorganize for the third time. Care was taken to create subordinate Granges close enough to each other to offer mutual support and encouragement, and they were established only where conditions promised success. Members quickly began ecoperative buying of apples, feneeposts, salt, and coal in carload lots and even formed a mutual insurance company for the protection of their property. However, it took the years after the depression of the thirties for the Nebraska Grange to attain a secure foundation. All too often membership increased during the days of agricultural affluence and deelined in hard times--the very days, the leaders believed, that farmers most needed the Order. Nevertheless, after 1911, the most dedicated Nebraska Patrons never lost faith in the durability of the Grange. They were always men of . good hope, and this spirit proved to be a dominant reason for the suecess of the Grange in Nebraska during the twentieth century.¹⁸

Ohio Historical Society

NOTES

¹Thomas Clark Atkeson, Semi-Gentennial History of the Patrons of Husbandry (New York: Orange Judd Co., 1916), p. 46: Kenyon L. Butterfield. "The Grange," Forum, XXXI (April, 1901), 232: Jeonie Buell, The Grange Master and the Grange Lecturer (New York: Harcourt Brax and Co., 1921), p. 3: Sven Nordin, Ruch Harcest: A History of the Grange, 1867-1900 (Jackson: University of Misaissippi Press, 1974), pp. 17-18: Solon Justice Buck, The Granger Movement (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1985), pp. 40-43; Oliver Hudson Kelly, Origin and Progress of the Patrons of Husbandry (Philwelphine) J. A. Wagenseller, 1875), pp. 124, 155.

Buck, The Granger Movement, pp. 25-26 54-55, Kelly, Origin and Progress of the Oeder of the Patrons of Husbandry, pp. 339, 342-43, 367: Nethanal Grange, Proceedings, 1873, pp. 8, 6.

*Buck, The Granger Movement, pp. 58-59: Nebraska Advertizer, June 5 and July 3, 1873; Central Union Agriculturist, January, 1874,

Nebraska Adventizer, July 3, 1873; Nebraska Potron, July 1, 1874

*Charles W. Pierson, "The Outbome of the Granger Movement," Popular Science Monthly, XXXII (January, 1884), 368, Nebraska Advertizer, April 2, 1874; Excelsion Grange No. 26, Grange Records, Nebraska State Historical Society, hereafter cited at NSHS; George H. Simmons to A. E. Sheldon, January 8, 1918, Grange Records, NSHS; E. B. Cowles to Nebraska State Historical Society, no date. Grange Records, NSHS.

Nebraska Advertizer, April 9, 1874; Nordin, Rich Harvest, p. 31.

'A. H. Hirsch, "Efforts of the Grange in the Middle West to Control the Price of Farm Machinery," Mississippi Valley Historical Review, XV (March, 1929), 473-96; Amos C. Warner, Three Phases of Cooperation in the Wrot ("John Hopkins University Studies," Vol. VI, Nos. 7-8; Baltimore, 1888), pp. 386-87; Buck, The Granger Movement, pp. 267-89; National Grange, Proceedings, 1875, p. 30

⁴Doily State Journal, December 21, 1876; John Sterling Morton and Albert Watkure. History of Nebranka (Lincoln: Western Publishing Co., 1918), pp. 673-75; Nebraska Advertizer, January 6, 1876: National Grange, Productings, 1875, p. 30.

⁶Report of the Committee on Relief, December 16, 1674, Grange Records, NSH5, National Grange, Proceedings, 1875, pp. 32, 73; Central Union Agriculturist, January, 1875, James C. Olson, Nutory of Nebraska (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1955), p. 1831; National Grange, Proteedings, 1876, pp. 18, 36-37, 112 In 1875 the National Grange gave about 11,000 dollars to Patrons who suffered losses from the grasshopper invasion in Nebraska, Kansas, Iowa, and Minneceta.

¹⁰Olson, *History of Nebraska*, pp. 185, 187, 190; Buck, *The Cranger Movement*, p. 196; Frank Dixon, "Railroad Control in Nebraska," *Political Science Quarterly*, XII (December, 1898), 620, 633.

¹⁰Olson, History of Nebraska, p. 185; Nebraska Patron, July 1, 1875

¹⁵Nebraska Patron, March J. 1875; West Butler Crange No. 476, Crange Records, NSHS, Excelsior Grange No. 28, Grange Records, NSHS; P. E. Beardsley, convening of the Nebraska State Grange, to John S. Maiben, secretary of the Excelsion Grange, January 12, 1878, Grange Records, NSHS.

¹⁷Records of the State Grange of Nebraska. NSHS: National Grange, Proceedings, 1887, pp. 87-89.

"Records of the State Crange of Nebraska, NSHS.

"National Crange, Proceedings, 1868, pp. 50-57; Olson, History of Nebroska, p. 229; Records to the State Grange of Nebraska, NSHS.

¹⁰Addison E. Sheldon, Nebraska: The Land and the People, Vol. 1 (Chiorgo: The Lowis Publishing Co., 1931), p. 671; National Grange, Proceedings, 1891, pp. 15, 77

¹⁵National Grange, Proceedings, 1894, pp. 79-80; Frank F. Loomis to A. E. Sheldon, January 18, 1918, Grange Records, NSHS, T. N. Bobbit, "My Recollections of the Early Grange in Nebrasks," Nebraska History and Record of Pioneer Days, V (January-March, 1928), 13-14.

"National Grange, Proceedings, 1906, p. 10; Ibid., 1911, pp. 27, 68-87; Ibid., 1912-1972.