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A well known literary quarrel of the early 1590's touched upon the London theatrical profession and involved Gabriel Harvey (and brother, Richard), Thomas Nashe, and Robert Greene. Ironically enough, Greene was merely the agent who precipitated the Harvey attacks with his remark about the "... sons of a ropemaker." Actually, the issue lay between Harvey and Thomas Nashe, and some think that it was Nashe himself who inserted the ropemaker allusion into Greene's A Quip for an Upstart Courtier (1592).¹ Scholars have also probed the question of Shakespeare's possible involvement in this quarrel through Love's Labour's Lost, variously dated from 1589 to 1594. Indeed, a knowledge of the literary activities and the personalities of Harvey, Nashe, and Greene, and an assessment of particular scenes in Love's Labour's Lost may produce evidence to determine the extent of Shakespeare's acquaintance with the participants in this dispute. For the fullest comprehension of this problem, one proposes, first, to cite parallels in Love's Labour's Lost to the works of these three authors; and, secondly, to measure the extent of Shakespeare's possible allusions to any of these individuals concerned with the quarrel. Unless otherwise stated, the 1598 Quarto of Love's Labour's Lost is the text which has been used in this study.

Gabriel Harvey

Harvey

Is it not possible for Humanity, to be a spittle-man, Rhetorique a summerell, Poetry a tumbler, History a bankroot, Philosophy a broker, wit a cripple, courage a jade? ("Pierce's Supererogation," 1593, Works, II, 283)

LLL

Make rich the ribbes, but bancrout quite the wits. (I. i. 27)

Although both authors employ the words, wit and bancrout (bankroot), in a different grammatical sense, the terms, nevertheless, connote a similar paradoxical state. Whereas wits are normally to be nurtured at the expense of the ribs in an academic career, the usual order is for the ribs to be fed and the wits, neglected; hence, the unnaturalness of the Academe situation, an imbalance between wits and ribs, enriching the one and bankrupting the other.

¹Mr. Kettner is an Instructor in English at the University of Missouri. This study originated as a master's thesis at Kansas State Teachers College, Emporia, under the direction of Professor Charles E. Walton, Department of English.


(29)
Harvey

Either Arte is obscure, or the quickest capacity dull: and needeth Methode, as it were the bright Moone, to illuminate the darksome night: but Practise is the bright Sun, that shineth in the day, & the soueraigne Planet that governeth the world: . . . To excell, ther is no Arte to diligent Exercise: but where they must be vnmarried, or divorced, geue me rather Exercise without Arte, then Arte without Exercise. Perfect vse worketh masteries: and disgraceth vnexperienced Arte. Examples are infinite: and dayly display themselves. A world without a Sunne: a Boddy without a Soule: Nature without Arte: Arte without Exercise: sory creatures. ("Fovre Letters, and Certaine Sonnets," September, 1592, Works, I, p. 228)

Harvey analyzes the relationship between Arte and Exercise, emphasizing the necessity of a balance between the two. In referring to Practise in terms of the Sun, and to Study in terms of the Moone, he expresses much the same idea as Shakespeare, who also considers the academic disciplines in terms of the sun. Shakespeare recognizes a potential futility in study ("Light seeking light, doth light of light beguyle"); Harvey also implies this idea when he discusses "Exercise without Arte" and warns of "A world without a Sunne: a Boddy without a Soule." He recommends the marriage of "studious Arte to diligent Exercise," claiming that "Practise is the bright Sun that shineth in the day." Shakespeare also advocates a balance between study and exercise and asserts that "Studie is lyke the heauens glorious Sunne." In both passages, there is also a notable play upon light and dark, a device which becomes increasingly prominent as LLL develops.

Harvey

The grene maister of the Blacke Arte . . . . ("Fovre Letters," 1592, Works, I, 202)

Oh what a notable matter were here for a greene head . . . . (Ibid., 172)

But Greene . . . . still flourisheth in the memory of some greene wits . . . . (Ibid., 136)

. . . might haue bene tollerated in a greene and wild youth. ("Pierce's Supererogation," 1593, Works, II, 96)

LLL

Light seeking light, doth light of light beguyle: / So ere you finde where light in darknes lyes, Your light growes darke by loosie of your eyes . . . . / Studie is lyke the heauens glorious Sunne, / That will not be deepe seacht with sawcie lookes: / Small haue continuall plodders ever wonne, / Saue base authoritie from others Bookes. / These earthly God-fathers of heauens lights, / That give a name to evry fixed Starre, / Haue no more profite of their shynynge nights, / Then those that walke and wot not what they are. / Too much to know, is to know nought but fame: every God-father can give a name. (I. i 77-93)

Greene in deede is the colour of Louers; but to haue a loue of that colour . . . . (I.ii.90-91) It was so sir, for she had a greene wit. (I. ii. 94)

This is the lyuer veine, which makes flesh a deitie. / A greene Goose, a Goddesse, pure pure ydoltarie. (IV. iii. 73-74)

The Spring is neare when greene geese are a breeding. (I. i. 97)
Greene usually connotes youthfulness. In Shakespeare, the words, Spring and greene, convey this meaning. Harvey, also, uses greene in the sense of youth or inexperience. Patridge suggests that green was often used by Shakespeare with "... a sexual undercurrent of implication." (And one should not overlooked the chance of a reference to Robert Greene in these passages.)

Harvey

... any whosoever will needes be offering abuse in fact, or snip-snapping in termes, sith other shrinks eth, he may peradventure not altogether passe unaanswered. ("Pierce's Supererogation," 1593, Works, II, 313)

If Nashe will fellly gnash, and rudely slash: / Snip-snap a crash, may lend S. Fame a gash. (Ibid., 338)

In both authors, snip and snap suggest sharp wit. Harvey also couples the word with abuse, implying raillery and scurrility. Halliwell shows that the phrase was used to depict the "... cutting of a tailor's shears."

Harvey

... the sonnes of Adam, & the daughters of Eve, haue noe neede of the Serpentes carowse to set them agogg. ("Pierce's Supererogation," 1593, Works, II, 92)

"A child of our Grandmother Eue," of course, would be Eve's daughter. In context, both passages emphasize the erotic tendencies of women. Harvey implies that women have need of no temptation; and so does Shakespeare, in the fuller scope of the scene in the play.

Harvey

... being demanded his opinion of the Eldership in question; answered, he conceived of the Eldership ... as he thought of the Eldertree ... ("An Advertisement for Papp-hatchett, and Martin Marprelate," 1589, Works, II, 149)

The obvious puns upon elders, elderships, and elder trees—with reference to the Judas story, to age, and to church office—are readily discerned. Shakespeare's lines encompass the Judas proverb as well as the age of man. On the other hand Harvey uses the tree, upon which Judas is thought to have hanged himself, for a discussion of the status of the church.

2. Eric Partridge, Shakespeare's Bawdy, p. 123.
Harvey

. . . to imbare the hardy foole in the famous Shipp of Fooles . . . . (“An Advertisement for Papp-hatchett,” 1953, Works, II, 125)

. . . recommend to the Ship of Fooles

. . . (Loc. cit.)

LLL

The Ship is vnder sayle, and here she comes amaine. (V. ii. 548)

Shakespeare’s allusion is an expansive one. In LLL, when the play-within-the-play (called The Nine Worthies) is acted by such fools as the Pedant, the Braggart, the Hedge-Priest, the Foole, and the Boy (V.iii. 545-46), he combines the Ship of Fools with the Ship of Wisemen. Harvey makes a similar distinction: “. . . foole in the Ship of wisemen.”

Harvey

She knew what she said, that intituled Pierce, the hoggshede of witt: Pen- niles, the topspot of eloquence: & Nashe, the vrey inuenter of Asses. She it is, that must broach the barrell of thy striking conceite, and canonize the Patriarke of newe writers. (“Favre Letters,” 1952, Works, I, 197)

LLL

Maister Person, quasi Person? And if one should be perst, Which is the one? / Marrie M. Scholemaster, he that is likeliest to a hoggshede. / Of persing a Hogshead, a good luster of conceit in a turph of Earth, Fier enough for a Flint, Pearl enough for Swine: tis prettie, it is well. (IV.ii. 84-90)

Because of a similarity in the Elizabethan pronunciation of such words as parson, person, pierce, and purse, and for the obvious association of these words with pennies, it is possible to think that Shakespeare was acquainted with Harvey’s reference to Nashe as expressed in this passage. The further presence of hoggshede in both examples strengthens this concept.

Harvey

. . . his vaineglorious and Thrasoni- call rimester . . . . (“Pierce’s Super- erogation,” 1593, Works, II, 119)

LLL

. . . his generall behauior vaine, ridiculis, & thrasonicall. (V. i. 14-15)

Harvey was especially fond of thrasonicall, a word which, as Furness points out, may have appeared earlier in print in the dedication to Tarleton’s Tragical Treatises (1578).^4

Harvey

The summe of summe is He tost his imagination a thousand waies, and I beleue searched every corner of his Grammar-schoole witte (for his mar- gine is as deaplie learned, as Fauste precor gelida) to see if he could finde anie means to relieue his estate . . . . (“Fovre Letters,” 1592, Works, I, 195)

LLL

Facile precor gelida, quando pecas omnia sub umbra ruminat, and so fourth. (IV. ii. 95-105)

Nathaniel in *LLL* recites the Latinate grammar school phrase to impress his associates. On the other hand, Harvey uses it to belittle Nashe's learning and wit which, he implies, are no more elevated than that of a grammar school boy's. Actually, Harvey, Shakespeare, and Nashe all use the phrase as an instrument of ridicule. Therefore, it is significant that Shakespeare deliberately misquotes the Mantuan line, to emphasize the stupidity of Nathaniel.\(^5\)

\textit{Harvey}

\ldots a Trifle for the manner: though the matter be \ldots super excellent \ldots; ("New Letter of Notable Contents," 1593, \textit{Works}, I, 269)

It is of little Value, \textit{either for matter, or manner}, that can be performed in such perfunctory Pamphlets \ldots; ("Pierce’s Supererogation," 1593, \textit{Works}, II, 318)

The matter is to me sir, as concerning Iaquenetta: / The manner of it is, I was taken with the manner. / In what manner? / In manner and forme following sir all those three. / (I. i. 204-7)

\textit{LLl}

Maynour is when a Theefe hath stolne, and is followed with Hue and Cry, and taken, having that found upon him which he stole, that is called Maynour. And so we use to say when we find one doing an unlawful Act, that we took him with the Maynour or Manner.\(^6\)

In the Shakespeare passage, the extension of these connotations to include "manor house" and "behaviour" is obvious. Harvey, in the case cited, also appears to be alluding to literary style.

\textit{Harvey}

\ldots an Epitome of fantasticaltie\ldots; ("Fovre Letters," 1592, \textit{Works}, I, 190)

\ldots what fantasticall panges are these? (\textit{Ibid.}, 217)

\ldots his impudent pamphletting, phantastical interluding, and desperate libelling \ldots; (\textit{Ibid.}, 169)

\ldots as fantastical and fond a Dialogue, as I haue scene \ldots; (\textit{Ibid.}, 160)

\textit{LLl}

I abhorre such phantattical phantasims, such insociable and poynct deuise companions \ldots; (V. i. 19-20)

For I protest, the Schoolmaister is exceeding fantastical, Too too vaine, too too vaine \ldots; (V. ii. 531-32)

As Shakespeare applies \textit{phantasim} to Armado, it describes one who is excessive in actions; more specifically, an arrogant, ostentatious, pedantical individual. Harvey’s adjective, \textit{fantastical}, denotes an affected or unnatural mode of speech, perhaps turgid.


\(^6\) Quoted in \textit{ibid.}, p. 35.
. . . Dionisius Areopagita . . . Sweet innocion of a child, most is reported in a certain Patheticall pretty & pathetical. (I. ii. 102) Ectastie to have cryed out . . . ("A Pleasant and Pithy Familiar discourse, of the Earthquake in April last," 1580, Works, I, 57)

Richard David, in his edition of *LLL*, calls attention to the term, *pathetical*, and states that it was introduced and frequently used by Gabriel Harvey.7

**Harvey**

Old whimwhams have plodded on, Small have continual plodders euer long enough; fresh invention from the wonne, / Saue base authoritie from the tapp, must haue his friskes & careers others Bookes. (I. i. 86)

**Harvey**

. . . conceive any high hope of How low so euer the matter, I hope in low means . . . . . ("Pierce’s God for high words. / A high hope Supererogation," 1593, Works, II, 84) for a low heauen . . . . (I. i. 196-97)

Here, the parallels are obvious. Scholars have debated the correct meaning to be attached to the lines from *LLL*, however, finding a *low heauen* a paradox difficult to explicate. One might suggest that Shakespeare was indulging in playhouse terminology with reference to stage heavens, an area which would have been appreciably lower than real heaven itself.

**Thomas Nashe**

Thou turmoilest thy *pia mater* to These are begot in the ventricle of prove base births better than the Memorie, nourisht in the wombe of spring of many discents . . . primater, and deliuered vpon the mel- ("Foure Letters Confuted," 1593, lowing of the occasion . . . . . Works, II, 272) (IV. ii. 70-72)

**Pia mater for brain** is used by both authors, Shakespeare allowing Nathaniel to mispronounce it as *primater*. Later in *LLL*, Nathaniel will demonstrate this same skill when corrupting *Fauste precor*. In the *primater* statement, he is alluding to poetical conceits which he considers " . . . foolish extravagant spirit, full of forms, figures, slopes, objects, Ideas . . . . ." Nashe is attacking Gabriel Harvey, as usual, and is touching upon other literary matters in their personal feud.

---

With the first and second leaf, hee plaine very pretile, and in ordinarie termes of extenuating, verdits Pierce Pennelisse for Grammar Schoole wit; sates his Margine is as deeplie learned as Fauste precor gelida . . . . (“Four Leaters Confuted,” 1593, Works, II, 249)

Here, again, Nathaniel mispronounces. At the same time, both Harvey and Nashe use the Latinate phrase in ridicule of one another. David thinks it an expression which even “ . . . the worst of Grammar School dunces might be expected to remember,” and does not, therefore, believe that Shakespeare would unintentionally have misquoted such a “notorious” line. Since Nathaniel is a misquoter, Shakespeare has apparently extended him this privilege of misquoting for comic effect.

Therefore as he is, an Asse, let him go: / And so adue sweete Iude. Nay, why dost thou stay? / For the latter ende of his name. / For an Asse to the Iude: giue at him. / Iudas away. (V. ii. 628-31).

The railing terms of Ass and Iudas were exceedingly popular during the Marprelate controversy. Here, both Shakespeare and Nashe are playing upon combinations. Whether or not this punning may be directly associated with the immediate dispute is debatable; however, the Nashe remark does have a meaning for Harvey, whom he was attacking in Four Letters Confuted.

Maister, let me take you a button hole lower. (V. ii. 706)

A button hole lower implies a degree of less importance. (Harvey uses what may be a variation of the theme in “Take you a peg lower.”)

To proue you a Cypher. (I. ii. 59)

Nashe is again villifying Harvey. Cypher implies a mere nothing, a no-account. In Shakespeare’s text, it is significant that the word occurs in a
speech by Moth directed against Armado since scholars have thought Moth to be a stage representation of Nashe. Harvey employs the same image in *The Trimming of Thomas Nashe* (1597), replying to *Foure Letters Confuted*:

... thou art as many Ciphers without an I, which they wanting are of themselves nothing, and thou hast much apparencie of witte which is as Ciphers, but thou hast not this same I. Iota is wanting to thy Ciphers, thou hast not one iot nor title of true witte ... .

All three authors, therefore, are working with the same image in similar sets of circumstances.

**Nashe**

I have a tale at my tungs end, if I can happen vpon it, of his hobby-horse-reuelling &i dominering at Audley-end, when the Queene was there . . . .


**LLL**

The Hobbie-horse is forgot. / Calst thou my loue Hobbie-horse. / No Maister, the Hobbi-horse is but a colt, and your loue perhaps a hacknie . . . . (III. i. 30-32)

By means of the hobby-horse allusion, Nashe suggests that Harvey behaved ridiculously when the Queen visited the neighborhood of Saffron-Walden, and strongly hints at the gaudy hobby-horse character of the morris dance. In Shakespeare's lines, the term designates a coltish quality. Indeed, its juxtaposition to hacknie conveys an erotic meaning, suggesting a woman of low character."

**Nashe**

Tapsters this quarter shall be in greater credite than Coblers . . . .


... I am no tapster, to say, Anon, anon, sir . . . . (*Summer's Last Will and Testament*, 1592, *Works*, VI)

... his Tapster ouerhearing him, cried, anone, anone, sir . . . . (*The Unfortunate Traveller*, *Works*, V, 13)

In *LLL*, Armado does not wish to be thought a member of common society. Of course, Nashe is once more attacking Harvey's social status. Furthermore, one recalls Poins's familiar, "Anon, anon, sir," from *I Henry IV*.

**Robert Greene**

At this point, it is necessary to call attention to Harvey's comprehensive description of Robert Greene:

... a Wilde head, ful of mad braine and a thousands crotches: A scholler, a Discourse, a Courtier, a ruffian, a Gamester, a Louer, a Soulter, a trauiler, a Merchaut, a Broker, an Artificer, a Botcher, a pettifogger, a Player, a Coosener, a Baylor, a beggar, an omnigatherum, a Gay nothing: a Storehouse of bald and baggage stuffe, vnworth the answering, or reading; a Triviall, and triobular Autor for knaves, & fooles: an Image of Idleness; an Epitome of fantasticalities; a Mirrour of Vanitie . . . .

In L.L., Ferdinand describes Armado in much the same language, dubbing him a "refined trauiler of Spaine," pointing to him as one with a "mint of phrases in his braine" who likes to hear his "owne vaine tongue." (I. i. 163-77) On the other hand, Greene had published Spanish Masquerado in 1598, in which he had used the phrase, magnificent Monarcho, by which term he was thereafter identified. Shakespeare makes use of similar terminology in I. i. 193. Furthermore, Armado is presented as a soldier in the court of Ferdinand and is referred to as a Spanish traveller. Harvey, at the same time, describes Greene as a traveller with a "... wilde head, full of mad braine and a thousande crotches," while Shakespeare's Armado is an indulger in "the worldes new fashion." Harvey considers Greene's wit to be "nothing but a mint of knauerie." Shakespeare's Armado is a "child of Fancie," who amuses the king with prevarications and "minstrelsie;" and Harvey thinks Greene an "Artificer . . . a Gay nothing."

In L.L (I. i. 233-37), Armado's letter is of some interest:

So it is besedged with sable coloured melancholie, I did commende the blacke oppressing humour to the most holsome phisicke of thy health-giving ayre: And as I am a Gentleman, betooke myselfe to walke . . . .

One recalls that Greene's A Quip for an Vpstart Courtier begins in much the same way:

It was lust at that time when the Cuckeulds querister beganne to bewray Aprill Gentlemen with his newer changed notes, that I damped with a melancholy humour, went into the fields to clear up my wittes with the fresh aire; where solitarie looking to sollace my selfe I fell in a dreame . . . .

Next, when Armado and his Page are first introduced (I. ii), Armado names him his "tender Iuvenall," a title which, in the course of the following conversation, is often repeated: "I spoke it tender iuvenal, as a congruent apethaton appertaining to thy younger dayes, which we may nominate tender." (I. ii. 14-16) Similarly, in his address "To those Gentleman, his Quodam acquaintance," Greene mentions one "... young Juvenall, that biting satyrist that lastlie with mee together writ a comedie," apparently with reference to Nashe.

In L.L (I. ii. 44), Page describes Armado as being a "Gentleman and gamester." Greene, although of the tradesman class, was university

trained and always wrote of himself as "gentleman." Nevertheless, Harvey delights in calling him as a "Gamester." Like Greene, Armado did not wish to associate with either the Gamester or the Tapster class.

In LLL (I. ii. 60-61), Armado tells his Page, "I will hereupon confess I am in loue: and it is base for a Souldier to loue; so I am in loue with a base wench." In one instance, Harvey accuses Greene of having been a soldier whose mistress was the sister of "cutting ball," a notorious degenerate, "... a sorry ragged queane, of whom hee had his base sonne."  

In LLL (V. i. 10-22), the Pedant, Holofernes, describes Armado in the following manner:

His humour is loftie, his discourse peremptorie: his tongue fyled, his eye ambitious, his gate maestickall, and his generall behavior vaine, rediculous, & thronical. He is too picked, to spruce, too affected, to od as it were, too peregrinat as I may call it ... He draweth out the thred of his verbositie, finer then the staple of his argument. I abhorre such phantatticall phantasims, such insociable and poynyt devise companions, such rakers of ortagriphie ...

In comparison, Harvey characterizes Greene as a man with "... ruffianly haire, vnseemly apparell, and more vnseemly Company; his vaine-glories and Thronical brauinge: his piperly Extemporizing ... his apish counterfeiting of euery ridiculous, and absurd toy ..." At the same time, Holofernes's remark concerning Armado's ability to draw out "... the thred of his verbositie, finer than the staple of his argument," seems to be echoed in Harvey's comment, "... the running Head, and the scribling Hand, that neuer linnes putting forth new, newer, & newest bookes of the maker." Finally, Holofernes says that the study of orthography suggests infamy to him, and one feels certain that Greene, as one of the "very ring-leaders of the riming, scribbling crew ... the king of the paper stage," would have been recognized for his experimentation with, perhaps, "fier new worde" fresh from his "mint of phrases." It is significant that Harvey thought of Greene as a "very prowerb of Infamy and contempt."

In LLL (V. i. 74-77), the Clowne assumes the burden of the dialogue and alludes to money and, in particular, to pennies:

And I had but one peny in the world thou shouldest have it to buy Ginger bread: Holde, there is the verie Remuneration I had of thy Maister, thou halpepennie purse of wit, thou Pidgin-egge of discretion.

On the other hand, when Harvey parodies Nashe's title, Pierce Penniless, he writes, "... a better man without money than money without a man: Penniless is not his purse but his mind ...," later referring to his

15. Ibid., p. 167.
16. Ibid., p. 163.
17. Ibid., p. 197.
“... Penny-worthes of his Penniles witt.”

Possibly one may detect a further reference to Harvey and to his share in the Marprelate controversy in LLL (V.ii.599-633) in the play-within-the-play episode in which the Pedant acts the role of Judas in the impromptu sketch. Here, there occurs a series of puns upon Judas and especially upon elder (previously cited). In the course of the playlet, the Pedant-Judas explains that he has been "put out of countenance," a complaint which Harvey often made during his many quarrels with others in the period. This particular scene concludes with a comparison of the Pedant-Judas type to a Jude-asse and a Lion, and one recalls that Nashe once alluded to Harvey, saying "... away with the Asse in the Lions skinne."

The Harvey-Nashe quarrel continued until 1599. As the evidence in this investigation tends to show, Shakespeare must have been aware of the two personages involved in this feud at a time when the argument was at its pamphleteering height and attracting the most public attention. At least, one has reason to think that LLL contains allusions to the quarrel which, when fully understood, may eventually identify it as Shakespeare's own commentary upon such a notorious argument. With the aid of such evidence, one may date the play as 1592/93 with a greater degree of accuracy than has hitherto been possible.

18. Ibid., p. 283.
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