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A study on the relationship between social support, self-esteem and Type A and Type B personality was conducted. The subjects were 76 Introductory Psychology students (25 males and 51 females) at a small midwestern university. Subjects were asked to participate in this study during their normally scheduled Introductory Psychology class period. The subjects were given test packets consisting of a consent form and 4 questionnaires, the Jenkins Activity Survey (JAS) to assess personality type, the Texas Social Behavior Inventory (TSBI) to assess self-esteem, the Inventory of Socially Supportive Behavior (ISSB) to assess social support, and a demographic questionnaire. Items on the demographic questionnaire included gender, religion, traditional or nontraditional student status, and socioeconomic status as well as the degree they intended to receive, the highest degree either of their parents had received and 3 questions regarding their perceived pressure to do well in
college. The JAS, TSBI and ISSB were analyzed using Multivariate T-tests. A significant effect was found between traditional and nontraditional student status and personality type. Nontraditional students were found more likely to have Type A personality than traditional students.

No other significant differences were found among personality type, self-esteem and social support. These findings further validate the on-going need of investigation into these variables. The pairing of Type A and B personality with social support is a relatively new proposal; other sample populations need to be further examined. While this study suggests that there are no differences using Introductory Psychology students, other samples of interest might include a more diverse college sample using graduate and undergraduate students and patients of chronic, non-life threatening illnesses. The lack of significance pertaining to Type A and B personality and self-esteem is another interesting finding, that may be added to the pool of already conflicting research.
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Pearl S. Buck once said "The person who tries to live alone will not succeed as a human being". Because we live together in a fast paced and perfectionistic society, people often forget to take time to smell the roses. They get so wrapped up in their work they forget spouses, relatives, and friends. The almighty dollar becomes so important that they lose sight of human interaction. Often these people are in a hurry, irritable, and set unrealistic expectations for themselves and others. This is typically the profile of a person with Type A behavior.

By being so competitive and unrealistic, Type A individuals often distance themselves from their social support system. The social support system includes the spouse, friends, and relatives. Research indicates that individuals who exhibit extreme Type A characteristics often do not have good social interaction skills. Therefore, extreme Type A's do not have many, if any, close emotional relationships. It seems that many Type A personalities prefer to have distance from their social support system, thus not having to endure social situations (Price, 1982).

Self-esteem is often used to describe how you feel about yourself. Many experts believe that how you feel about yourself is often related to how others feel about you. This appears to be especially true of Type A personalities (Price, 1982). One would wonder, if the Type A individuals self-esteem is affected by their limited social support system. This paper will address the self-esteem and social support system of Type A individuals.
Review of the Literature

The concept of Type A behavior or coronary prone behavior was originally developed as a behavioral factor in coronary heart disease research. Type A behavior was formulated in the medical community and was later introduced into the psychological community as a social/psychological concept. The Type A behavior pattern is currently used to label individuals who exhibit behaviors such as fierce competitiveness, a preoccupation with success, and unreasonable expectations for self and others (Van Egeren, 1979).

Until recently, research on Type A behavior has been an atheoretical concept. Due to the medical implications of Type A behavior and coronary heart disease, an epidemiological approach to the Type A behavior pattern was taken. Epidemiological research investigates the causes of disease by identifying the characteristics and conditions associated with the development of the disease (Marx & Kolata, 1978).

Durkheim (1952) disagreed with the epidemiological approach by stating "The nature of a phenomenon is much more profoundly gotten at by knowing its cause than by knowing its characteristics only, even the essential ones" (p. 147). Durkheim's philosophy also questions the atheoretical approach. Using a theoretical approach would provide a cognitive framework for integrating existing data, as well as allowing us to make predictions about future research. Price (1982) suggests using the cognitive social learning theory.

Cognitive social learning theory has its main roots in the philosophy of behaviorism. Behaviorism views external observable behavior as the major focus of
interest and the person's environment is viewed as the main determinant of psychological functioning (Price, 1982). It is the cognitive learning aspect of the cognitive social learning theory that most distinguishes itself from traditional behaviorism (Mahoney, 1976).

Most animals operate under constraints of biological programming. This programming restricts animals' range of possible responses to given stimulus. Humans have limited inborn programming. Thus, humans have the potential to respond to the same environmental event in a variety of different ways. The cognitive social learning rationale recognizes the interaction among what persons think, how they behave, and conditions in their social and physical environment (Price, 1982).

According to the cognitive social learning theory, behavior is learned through instruction, social modeling, and direct exposure to the consequences of one's actions. Thru instruction, individuals' cognitive processes are developed. In social modeling, it is proposed that learning occurs during exposure to the modeled activities. Whether the observer will model the observed behavior at a later time depends in large part on whether direct exposure to the consequences has been observed. Modeling also depends on what the observer expects the consequences to be. Behavior that elicits positive consequences (as perceived by the observer) will be more frequently modeled. Immediate or short-term consequences will have the greatest influence on future behavior. The phenomenon of direct exposure to consequences is important to the Type A behavior pattern because Type A's appear to have a great deal of observable positive short-term consequences. The negative long-term consequences
not be observed by the observer (Price, 1982). It is those positive consequences that continue to generate learned Type A behavior. The negative consequences are often so long in occurring, they do not seem to be much of an inhibitor.

The Type A counterpart is termed the Type B individual. Type B’s are rarely described in scholarly literature except to say that they are the opposite of Type A’s (Price, 1982). Thomas (1986) found that Type B’s have their own unique behavior pattern and are not merely the opposite of Type A’s. Type B’s characteristics include commitment to self, a vigorous attitude, a sense of meaningfulness and an internal locus of control. It appears that Type B’s hold more rational beliefs and have a greater sense of meaningfulness than do Type A individuals. Type B’s also appear to exhibit less reaction to minor stresses than do Type A individuals (Thomas, 1986).

Coopersmith (1967) defined self-esteem as "the evaluation which the individual makes and customarily maintains with regard to himself. It expresses approval or disapproval and indicates the extent to which the individual believes himself to be capable, significant, successful and worthy" (p. 5). The general description of hard-driving, aggressive Type A behavior might include the image of a person who has no problems with sense of self-worth or self-esteem. The opposite conclusion appears to be the case.

Several studies have found conflicting reports regarding Type A Behavior and self-esteem. Tramill, Klienhammer-Tramill, Davis, and Parks (1985) found Type A’s had lower self esteem than Type B’s. In self evaluations Type A’s found themselves to be more ambitious, cold, complaining, conceited, cruel, dishonest, dominating,
enthusiastic, gloomy, insincere, jealous, malicious, impatient and selfish (Furnham, Borovoy & Henley (1986). Degregorio and Carver (1980) failed to find any significant relationship between Type A and B behavior and self-esteem. Chesney, Black, Chadwick, & Rosenman (1981) found in an all male study, that Type B's had a higher self-esteem than did Type A individuals. In addition, Martin, Kuiper, & Westra (1989) found that in a study of 54 female undergraduates Type A's had lower self-esteem. Further complicating the issue, Houston & Kelley (1987) found in a study of housewives that Type A individuals had higher self-esteem than did Type B individuals.

Cobb (1976) defined social support in part as information leading a person to believe that he is cared for and a valued member of a group. Social support was found to be a major factor influencing self-esteem, perceptions of functional capacity, mood, and adjustment to coronary heart disease (Davidson, 1987; Doehrman, 1977; Mayou, 1979; Mayou, Foster, & Williamson, 1978; Razin, 1982; Waltz, 1986; Wortman & Conway, 1985). Social support has also been associated with characteristics such as, affiliation and autonomy needs, social competence, self-esteem, sociability and locus of control (Cohen, Meremstein, Kamarck, & Hoberman, 1985; Cohen & Syme, 1985; Eckenrode, 1983; Gottlieb, 1985; Lefcourt, Martin, & Saleh, 1984; Sarason, Levine, Basham, & Sarason, 1983; Sarason & Sarason, 1985).

In the case of the Type A individual, comfort in social interactions appear to have an effect on the satisfaction of social support systems. Goffman (1968) believed many difficulties with social interaction are experienced by stigmatized individuals who
belong to a minority race or religion, or who have physical deformities or character flaws.

A similar process called self-stigmatization can affect people who do not fall into one of Goffman's socially controversial categories. These individuals have not yet experienced actual societal rejection, but due to social discomfort are faced with a potential threat to their self esteem (Brewin, MACCarthy, & Furnham, 1989). This process of self-stigmatization may be a component of the Type A individuals social difficulties. Price's (1982) social cognitive approach maintains Brewin, et al. (1989) statement regarding self-esteem in regard to the Type A behavior pattern. Brewin, et al. (1989) and Price (1982) confirm Berrenberg and Deyle's (1989) study that Type A's may experience stress in their interpersonal relations due to their self-esteem being closely tied to the self-evaluative comparisons of others.

Shumaker and Brownell (1984) define social support as " an exchange of resources between at least two individuals perceived by the provider or the recipient to be intended to enhance the well-being of the recipient" (p. 13). The effects of social support has been recognized as a factor in moderating the effects of stressors theoretically (Cobb, 1976) and empirically (Barrera, Sandler & Ramsey, 1981). Social support buffers stress by providing the individual with emotional support as well as guidance and physical and monetary assistance (Caplan, 1974). Sandler and Lakey (1982) noted that "although social support and the characteristics of the person receiving support are both involved in the process by which individuals cope with stress, the empirical literature has treated these two moderating variables separately.
There is reason to believe that personal characteristics can influence the use and effects of support (p. 67). Barrerra and Ainlay (1983) defined six categories in the content of social support. The categories listed were:

1. Material aid (providing tangible assistance)
2. Behavioral assistance (sharing of tasks through physical assistance)
3. Intimate interaction (listening and expressing esteem, caring and understanding)
4. Guidance (offering advice, information or instruction)
5. Feedback (providing individuals with feedback about their behavior, thoughts or feelings)
6. Positive social interaction (engaging in social interactions for fun and relaxation).

Davidson (1987) reported that effective social support resulted in positive self-evaluation, increased self-esteem, control or mastery over the environment, feelings of worth, lovability, importance and prestige. Social support is delinquent in quality if it is not intended to enhance the well-being of the recipient, or if it is not what the recipient desires (Riegel, 1989).

Social support is now being recognized as generating negative feelings on the part of the recipient if it represents the fear of loss of freedom, feelings of inadequacy or feeling uncomfortably indebted to the provider (Gross, Wallston, & Piliavin, 1979). Needing help may cause the Type A individual to assume that one is incapable of
solving one's own problems. This assumption may lead to feeling a loss of competence and lowered self esteem (Riegel, 1989). Davidson (1987) suggests that Type A individuals may have more of the attitude that they want to "go it alone", thus having smaller social support systems. Another factor that may effect the social support systems of Type A individuals is the abrasive, hostile personality traits many Type A's possess (Matthews, 1982). Demborski and McDougall (1978) reported that Type A's prefer competition over cooperation and that they will choose to work alone rather than in the presence of others while under stress.

Martin, Kuiper, & Westra (1989) found that Type A individuals reported the size of their support systems to be insignificant as compared to Type B individuals. However, Type A individuals reported lower levels of satisfaction with their social support system.

The Type A personal belief system appears to have an effect on the self-esteem of the Type A individual. It appears that Type A's may experience stress in their interpersonal relationships. This stress may be due to their self-esteem being closely tied to the evaluative perceptions others have of them (Berrenberg & Deyle, 1989). According to the cognitive social learning theory there is a set of 6 general personal beliefs that make up the core of the Type A personality:

1. My sense of personal well-being (peace of mind) depends upon being a worthwhile, lovable, respected person;
2. My worth is not constant - it fluctuates;
3. My worth is determined by how I feel about myself;
4. How I feel about myself is determined primarily by what others think about me (or what I think they think of me);
5. What others think of me is determined primarily by what they see me do (my achievements);
6. Therefore, in order to have a sense of personal well-being I must achieve a lot, so that people will think well of me so that I will feel good about myself (Price, 1982, p. 67).

Type A's appear to be reluctant to abandon the belief that their worth fluctuates. Type A's often believe that their worth is greater than some persons and less than others. This belief is coupled with the concept of expediency. The principle of expediency is often the Type A's law of survival. In this principle one can see the potential for immoral behavior in the Type A belief system. The Type A who is concrete in the principle of expediency, may believe that his worth is superior to one group (such as subordinates), and may exploit subordinates as a means to proving self through tangible accomplishments. Another faulty belief system is the belief that personal resources are limited; that is, if recognition is given to a co-worker, there won't be recognition for the Type A individual. This fear of limited personal resources (e.g. praise, love, attention, recognition) relates intimately to the fear of being viewed as worthless (Price, 1982). The aforementioned setting of high personal goals maybe
another personality characteristic that often results in self-criticism, feelings of worthlessness, and depression (Glass, 1977).

Type A personalities are not conducive to the development of a well-functioning intimate social support system (Price, 1982). It has been demonstrated that social support has a beneficial effect on the outcome of physical and psychiatric illness (Cohen & Wills, 1985; Parry & Shapiro, 1986; Wallston, Alagna, DeVellis, & DeVellis, 1983). Using the cognitive social learning model of Type A, proving one's personal worth or value is oftentimes seen as an essential part of their behavioral pattern. The Type A individual appears to rely excessively on feedback from peers to assess his personal worth (Price, 1982). If feedback is meager due to lacking social support systems, this may have an unfortunate influence on the Type A's self-esteem.

Statement of Problem

Type A behavior can best be studied psychologically by using a theoretical approach. For the purpose of this study the cognitive social learning theory best fits. Aforementioned research has demonstrated that Type A individuals often have limited social support systems (Price, 1982), and that the perception of the social support system by the recipient is often a large factor in the self-esteem of the individual (Riegel, 1989). Burke, Weir, & DuWors (1979) stated that occupational success appears to be the primary source of satisfaction for many Type A men. This behavior pattern does not provide a sound foundation for mutually satisfying marital or family relationships. It appears that Type A individuals who need the most social support are less likely to develop and maintain that support. The absence of satisfying
interpersonal relationships could be considered an important nonmedical component of Type A individuals warranting further study (Price, 1982).

Many studies speculate on the direct effects of perceived social support on the self-esteem of Type A personalities. The significance of this study will be to better clarify the effects of social support systems on the self-esteem of Type A individuals. It is important to assess more systematically the perception of social support and the self-esteem of the Type A personality. By clarifying these components, medical and psychological professionals may better understand the interpersonal aspects of Type A individuals. This clarification may also help to develop better treatment plans for future cardiac patients and for those working in cardiac research. If social interaction is a stressful event for Type A individuals, then the treatment of Type A's must address this issue.
Chapter 2

METHOD

The following chapter will discuss the procedures used in this study. Information regarding population and sampling procedures, materials and instrumentation, and experimental procedures will be dealt with.

Subjects

An intact group of 76 students in Introductory Psychology courses from a small Midwestern University was the sample group. The fixed effects of Type A or Type B personality assigned subjects to their respective group. There were 51 female subjects and 25 male subjects. Having access to a relatively large sample allowed for attrition.

The sample chosen is consistent with many other samples used in previous research regarding these variables (Barrera, Sandler, & Ramsay, 1981; Matthews, 1982; Helmreich & Stapp, 1974). This is a convenience sample due to the availability of Introductory Psychology students.

Apparatus

Jenkins Activity Survey-Form T (JAS). The JAS-Form T was used to determine Type A or B individuals. The JAS-Form T is a modified version of the original JAS (Jenkins, Zyzanski, & Rosenman, 1979). The modification involved deleting items on Job Involvement, thus making Form C a students version. The JAS Form C is a standardized, objective, 44 item self-report measure of coronary prone behavior. Scores on the JAS may range from 0 - 21. The determination of Type A or B is broken down in this scale as follows:
0-4  B+  highest B rating
5-7  B-  lowest B rating
8  is the mean score, no personality type is indicated
9-11  A-  lowest A rating
12-21  A+  highest A rating

All scoring levels were used with the exception of scores of 8. Scores of 8 were discarded since no personality type is indicated with it.

There are many studies proving the predictive validity of the JAS and heart attacks (Matthews, 1982). Holden, & Hickman (1987) found the JAS to have a reliability of .90. The JAS has been included in Appendix F.

The Texas Social Behavior Inventory- Form A (TSBI). Helmreich and Stapps' (1974), TSBI was used to measure self esteem and social competence. The TSBI (See Appendix D) is an objective 16 item self report questionnaire. Scoring is determined by a 5 point Likert scale with 0 determining the lowest self-esteem rating and 4 determining the highest self esteem rating. Scoring is measured by adding all of the item scores into one final score, the final scores are then broken down into levels of self esteem.

The TSBI-A "... can be used with confidence to provide reliable indices of self-esteem" (Helmreich & Stapp, 1974; p. 475). The correlation coefficients for the long form are .97 for both males and females (Helmreich & Stapp, 1974).

The Inventory of Socially Supportive Behaviors (ISSB). Barrera, Sandler, & Ramsays' (1981) ISSB was used to measure the frequency with which the subjects
perceived they are the recipients of supportive behavior. The ISSB is a 40 item self-report measure based on a 5 point Likert scale, with 1 being very little support and 5 being a great deal of support (See Appendix E). In a test re-test situation reliability for the ISSB is correlated at r(69)=.882, while validity yielded scores of .926 on the first administration and .940 on the second administration (Barrera, Sandler, & Ramsay, 1981).

Demographic Questionnaire. A demographic questionnaire (See Appendix C) was developed by the experimenter to gather information such as; gender, age, student classification, level of education parents achieved, level of education subject intends to receive, socioeconomic status of parents. Also included in this questionnaire is, who the subject sees as producing the most pressure upon them to do well in school. This questionnaire was used to provide demographic information to better assist in limiting mortality threat as previously mentioned in the internal validity section.

Consent Form. A consent form was developed by the experimenter to explain the study and the confidentiality within the study. Subjects were required to sign the consent form before participation in this study. The consent form was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The Consent form has been provided in Appendix B.

Procedures

Subjects were tested as a group in their usual classroom setting, at their regular class time. The experimenter was introduced by the instructor of that respective class and the instructor then left the classroom. The experimenter then re-
introduced herself (see Appendix A) and explained her reason for being in their classroom.

Consent forms were distributed to each student. The experimenter then said:

"Please read the consent forms carefully and sign them now."

Upon the signing of the consent forms the experimenter then explained

"I will now come around and pick up your signed consent form and distribute the questionnaire packets, please leave the questionnaire packets on your desk until further instructions are given."

At this time the experimenter went to each desk and picked up the consent forms, checking to see that each one had been signed. The experimenter then distributed the questionnaire packets at the same time the consent forms were being picked up. The questionnaire packets were stapled together and have their confidential identification numbers on each instrument contained in the packet. The questionnaire packets contained the Demographic Questionnaire on top and the JAS, TSBI, and the ISSB in their respective counterbalanced orders.

Upon distribution of the questionnaire packets the experimenter then returned to the front of the classroom and gave the following instructions.

"When I tell you to begin I would like you to complete each questionnaire in the order it appears in the packet, please complete every question on each questionnaire. Read the instructions at the top of each questionnaire before you begin. Please take your time, read each question carefully and answer the questions based on how
Upon completion of the packets the experimenter went around the room and collect the questionnaire packets. The experimenter then thanked the class and discuss confidentiality by saying,

"I want to thank you all very much for participating in this study. I will be using other Introductory Psychology classes so I ask that you not discuss this exercise with your friends. By discussing this with friends you could damage the validity of my results, and then this whole process would be for nothing."

Subjects were debriefed as follows:

"This research has been designed to study the social support systems, that is, your close friends and family, and the self-esteem of Type A individuals. Upon completion of this study I would be happy to share my results with you if you are interested. Again, thank you for your participation and for not sharing this information with any of your friends."

**Statistical Design**

The independent variables in this study is social support (high and low) as scored by the ISSB. The dependent variable is personality type (Type A or Type B) as scored by the JAS, and self esteem (high and low) as scored by the TSBI. The JAS, TSBI, and ISSB were scored according to the procedures used in their respective test
manuals. Means and standard deviations for Type A, Type A social support, Type A self-esteem, Type B, Type B social support, and Type B self-esteem were calculated. Multi-variate T-tests were used to determine the significance between Type A and B social support, Type A and B self-esteem, Type A self-esteem and Type A social support and Type B self-esteem and Type B social support.
CHAPTER 3

RESULTS

The independent variable in the present study was social support (high or low) as scored by the ISSB. The dependent measures were personality type (A or B) as scored by the JAS and self-esteem (high or low) as scored by the TSBI. The data were analyzed by using multi-variate T-tests. Univariate T-tests were used upon finding significance at the multivariate level.

No significance for personality type and social support, \( p = .327 \) was found at the multivariate level. The mean ratings and standard deviations for social support by personality type are shown in Table 1. While mean differences varied by 1.029 and standard deviations were different by .317, the statistical test suggests that there is a similar distribution of personality type between high and low social support levels.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personality Type (JAS)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Support (low)</td>
<td>8.929</td>
<td>3.589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Support (high)</td>
<td>9.958</td>
<td>3.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sample</td>
<td>9.404</td>
<td>3.738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There was no significant effect for self-esteem and social support, \( (p = .818) \).
The mean differences and standard deviations are shown in Table 2. The means
differed by .353 while the standard deviations differed by 1.583. Level of self-esteem
had no significant effect on level of social support.

Table 2

Mean Ratings and Standard Deviations for Self-Esteem and Social Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Support (low)</td>
<td>35.500</td>
<td>5.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Support (high)</td>
<td>35.853</td>
<td>4.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sample</td>
<td>35.654</td>
<td>5.137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the multivariate level significance was found for traditional and
nontraditional students and personality type, see Table 3.

Table 3

Multivariate Test of Significance \( (S =1, M =0, N = 35 \ 1/2) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Exact F</th>
<th>Hypth. DF</th>
<th>Error DF</th>
<th>Sig. of F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilks</td>
<td>.932262</td>
<td>.6523</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post hoc analysis further suggested that nontraditional students had a greater
tendency to be Type A personality. Traditional students had a greater tendency to be
Type B personality, see Table 4.

Table 4

Univariate F-tests with (1,74) D.F. For Traditional and Non-Traditional Students by Personality Type (JAS) and Self-Esteem (TSBI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hypoth. SS</th>
<th>Error SS</th>
<th>Hypoth. MS</th>
<th>Error MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAS</td>
<td>54.93620</td>
<td>1045.48485</td>
<td>54.93620</td>
<td>14.12817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSBI</td>
<td>43.43254</td>
<td>2437.67273</td>
<td>43.43254</td>
<td>32.94152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>( F )</th>
<th>Sig. of ( F )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAS</td>
<td>3.8842</td>
<td>.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSBI</td>
<td>1.31847</td>
<td>.255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4
DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between social support and self-esteem in Type A and B personalities. Subjects included 76 (24 male and 51 female), Introductory Psychology students from a small mid-western university. Subjects completed the JAS, TSBI, ISSB, and a demographic assessment form.

The data from the present study indicate that there were no differences among social support, personality type and self-esteem in Introductory Psychology students. Significance was found at the \( p > .05 \) level with the multivariate measure of traditional and nontraditional students and personality type. Post hoc analysis with a univariate measure indicated that nontraditional students had greater tendency to be Type A personality, traditional students had a greater tendency to be Type B personality.

With regard to the lack of significance found on the multi-variate level, between personality type, self-esteem, and social support, the results were not surprising. Reigel's (1989) study was conducted using a population of cardiac patients as opposed to this study which used college students from a small mid-western university. Reigel (1989) suggested that Type A personalities, self-esteem was lowered by an increase in social support. This concept maybe escalated when a life threatening illness is involved as was the case using her populations. When a life threatening illness occurs patients maybe overwhelmed with visitors and well-wishers. Thus, irritability and a magnification of the illness may occur. However, when comparing typical, day to day, social support, as was the case in this study's
population the sense of urgency and magnification would most likely be lessened.

The only information found to be statistically significant with the multivariate T-test is between non-traditional and traditional students and personality type. This might be explained by the non-traditional students, age 25 and over, motivation for attending college. It appears that many non-traditional students arrive on a university campus with self directed, specific goals. Traditional students seem somewhat less goal directed and driven. These results may also be sample specific. Prior studies and literature regarding this issue were not available. Further investigation of this finding is necessary.

While this study yielded no significant differences, other than that found between traditional/nontraditional students and personality type, the hypothesis will be accepted. Factors effecting the outcome of this study might include, population size and type, as well as the concept of Type A and B personality as a generalized theory of personality. In a literary review of Type A research, Linden (1987) stated "researchers should direct their attention away from the global Type A, B Personality (TABP) construct and instead focus on (1) cardiovascular hyperactivity as an important marker in the development of heart disease and (2) the effects of hostility and the expression of anger on cardiovascular processes. Riegel (1989) found that with a population in which a life threatening illness is involved, social support has an effect on the self-esteem of Type A individuals. This study found that with a population in which a life threatening illness in not involved, no significant relationship between personality type, social support and self-esteem exists. There should be great interest
In how the self-esteem of individuals who are facing a chronic, severe non-lifethreatening illness would be effected by social support? Illnesses of interest might include diabetic's or individuals with chronic asthma or ulcer problems.

The sample used was quite homogeneous, consisting primarily of individuals from relatively rural areas. A larger sample size might also effect the results of this study. The variable of locus of control has often been associated with self-esteem and might also provide differences in results.

The recognition that personality type might effect the relationship between self-esteem and social support among individuals with coronary heart disease is a valued concept in the rehabilitation of coronary patients. This relationship has not been confirmed by this study, when using a midwestern university population, who does not have any known coronary heart disease. However, this study provided valuable information into the continued understanding of Type A and B personality. Future researchers might further develop an understanding of the Type A concept by continuing to define the possibility of other types of illnesses that might be significantly related to Type A personality. Diabetic's, chronic asthma and ulcer patients might provide a useful beginning for future researchers.

The pairing of Type A and B personality with social support is a relatively new proposal, other sample populations need to be further examined. While this study suggests that there are no differences using Introductory Psychology students, other samples of interest might include a more diverse sample using graduate and undergraduate students.
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APPENDIX A
Introduction Script
"Hello, my name is Trish Bannon and I am currently a graduate student in clinical psychology here at Emporia State University. I am here today to request your participation in an exercise I am using as a part of my thesis. This exercise will require you to fill out 4 questionnaires, there is no threat to you physically or emotionally in this study. I will begin by distributing a consent form for your participation. I would ask that you read it carefully and sign it if you agree to participate. I would also like you to understand that you do not have to participate and that if you choose not to participate it will not effect your grade in this class. Those of you who choose not to participate may be excused to go quietly out into the hall until this exercise is completed. Do not leave the building as you will be having class as soon as the questionnaires are completed."
APPENDIX B

Informed Consent Form
Informed Consent Form

This study is to assess the attitudes of students in a midwestern university. Strict confidentiality will be used throughout this study. Names will not be used in any description or discussion of this study or the results found. Only the experimenter and one other graduate student will have access to the initial data collected.

This study is not a mandatory part of your curriculum. If you agree to participate you will be asked to fill out several questionnaires. If at any time you choose to discontinue this study you may do so. If you do not agree to participate in this study no negative recourse will be taken by either the experimenter or the instructor of this course.

This study has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board. It will not contain any harmful events to the subjects either physically or emotionally. If you agree to participate in this study please sign this form.

I understand that confidentiality will be used in this study, that the Institutional Review Board has approved this study, and I agree to participate.

----------------------------------
Signature of Participant
APPENDIX C
Demographic Information
Demographic Information

Please circle the correct answer as it pertains to you.

1. Male  Female

2. What is your religious preference?
   Protestant  Catholic  Atheist  Other

3. Traditional Student  Nontraditional Student over age 25

4. Student Classification
   Freshman  Sophomore  Junior  Senior
   Grad. School  Non-degree seeking

5. What is the highest occupation held by either of your parents
   1. Professional (physician, lawyer, CPA, Executive)
   2. Minor Professional (beginning lawyer or physician, small business owner)
   3. Semiprofessional (salesperson, cashier, ect.)
   4. Skilled worker (bookkeeper, railroad engineer, police officer)
   5. Medium Skilled worker (telephone operator, carpenter, plumber)
   6. Semi-skilled worker (taxi or truck driver, waitress)
   7. Unskilled worker (laborer, custodian, ect.)

6. What is the highest level of education attained by either of your parents?
   Non High School Graduate  High School Graduate
   High School Graduate with some college  College Graduate

7. What type of neighborhood did you live in?
   1. Very High (The best houses mansions)
   2. High (Superior and well above average, slightly less than #1)
3. Above Average (well cared for, nice, but not pretentious)
4. Average (areas of workingmen's homes, small, neat)
5. Below Average (undesirable area, close to factories, run-down)
6. Low (Area includes run-down houses and semi-slums)
7. Very low (slum district, area has poor reputation)

8. What is the highest level of education you intend to receive?

   Some College  Graduate with Bachelors
   Some Grad School Graduate with Masters  Doctoral

9. How much pressure do you place upon yourself to do well in college?

   None  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  Very Much

10. How much pressure do/did your friends and family place upon you
to do well in college?

    None  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  Very Much

11. Of your answers to questions #8 and #9, which one produces the
    most pressure for you?

    Self  Family  Friends
APPENDIX D
Texas Social Behavior Inventory
TEXAS SOCIAL BEHAVIOR INVENTORY-FORM A

Please circle the answer that best describes you, circle only one.

1. I am not likely to speak to people until they speak to me

   a  Not at all  b  Not very  c  Slightly  d  Fairly  e  Very much
   characteristic of me

2. I would describe myself as self-confident.

   a  Not at all  b  Not very  c  Slightly  d  Fairly  e  Very much
   characteristic of me

3. I feel confident of my appearance.

   a  Not at all  b  Not very  c  Slightly  d  Fairly  e  Very much
   characteristic of me

4. I am a good mixer.

   a  Not at all  b  Not very  c  Slightly  d  Fairly  e  Very much
   characteristic of me

5. When in a group of people, I have trouble thinking of the right thing to say.

   a  Not at all  b  Not very  c  Slightly  d  Fairly  e  Very much
   characteristic of me
6. When in a group of people, I usually do what the others want rather than make suggestions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. When I am in disagreement with other people, my opinion usually prevails.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. I would describe myself as one who attempts to master situations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Other people look up to me.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. I enjoy social gatherings just to be with people.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. I make a point of looking other people in the eye.</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I cannot seem to get others to notice me.</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. I would rather not have very much responsibility for other people.</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. I feel comfortable being approached by someone in a position of authority.</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. I would describe myself as indecisive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. I have no doubts about my social competence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Fairly</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td>characteristic of me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX E

Inventory of Socially Supportive Behavior
Inventory of Socially Supportive Behavior

Please read each item carefully and select the rating that you think is the most accurate.

During the past four weeks, how often did other people do these activities for you, to you, or with you:

1. Looked after a family member when you were away.
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Was right there with you (physically in a stressful situation).
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Provided you with a place where you could get away for awhile.
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Watched after your possessions when you were away.
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Told you what she/he did in a situation that was similar to yours.
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Did some activity with you to help you get your mind off of things.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Talked with you about some interests of yours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Let you know that you did something well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Went with you to someone who could take action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Told you that you are OK just the way you are.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Told you that she/he would keep the things that you talk about private -- just between the two of you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. Assisted you in setting a goal for yourself.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Made it clear what was expected of you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Expressed esteem or respect for a competency or personal quality of yours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Gave you some information on how to do something.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Suggested some action that you should take

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Gave you over $25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. Comforted you by showing you some physical affection.

   A. Not at all  
   B. Once or twice  
   C. About once a week  
   D. Several times a week  
   E. About every day

19. Gave you some information to help you understand a situation you were in.

   A. Not at all  
   B. Once or twice  
   C. About once a week  
   D. Several times a week  
   E. About everyday

20. Provided you with some transportation.

   A. Not at all  
   B. Once or twice  
   C. About once a week  
   D. Several times a week  
   E. About everyday

21. Checked back with you to see if you followed the advice you were given.

   A. Not at all  
   B. Once or twice  
   C. About once a week  
   D. Several times a week  
   E. About every day

22. Gave you under $25.

   A. Not at all  
   B. Once or twice  
   C. About once a week  
   D. Several times a week  
   E. About every day

23. Helped you understand why you didn't do something well.

   A. Not at all  
   B. Once or twice  
   C. About once a week  
   D. Several times a week  
   E. About every day
24. Listened to you talk about your private feelings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or</td>
<td>About</td>
<td>Several</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>twice</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>times a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. Loaned or gave you something (a physical object other than money) that you needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or</td>
<td>About</td>
<td>Several</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>twice</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>times a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. Agreed that what you wanted to do was right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or</td>
<td>About</td>
<td>Several</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>twice</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>times a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. Said things that made your situation clearer and easier to understand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or</td>
<td>About</td>
<td>Several</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>twice</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>times a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. Told you how he/she felt in a situation that was similar to yours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or</td>
<td>About</td>
<td>Several</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>twice</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>times a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. Let you know that he/she will always be around if you need assistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or</td>
<td>About</td>
<td>Several</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>twice</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>times a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
30. Expressed interest and concern in your well-being.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31. Told you that she/he feels very close to you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32. Told you who you should see for assistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. Told you what to expect in a situation that was about to happen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Loaned you over $25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. Taught you how to do something.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>Several times a week</td>
<td>About every day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. Gave you feedback on how you were doing without saying it was good or bad.

   A  B  C  D  E  
Not at all Once or twice About once a week Several times a week About every day

37. Joked and kidded to try to cheer you up.

   A  B  C  D  E  
Not at all Once or twice About once a week Several times a week About every day

38. Provided you a place to stay.

   A  B  C  D  E  
Not at all Once or twice About once a week Several times a week About every day

39. Pitched in to help you do something that needed to get done.

   A  B  C  D  E  
Not at all Once or twice About once a week Several times a week About every day

40. Loaned you under $25

   A  B  C  D  E  
Not at all Once or twice About once a week Several times a week About every day
APPENDIX F
Jenkins Activity Survey Form-T
Modified Jenkins Activity Survey

For Each item below select the answer which best reflects your opinion about yourself. Place your answer in the blank at the left of the question.

_____ 1. How would your husband/wife (closest friend) rate you?
   a. Definitely hard-driving and competitive
   b. Probably hard-driving and competitive
   c. Probably relaxed and easy going
   d. Definitely relaxed and easy going

_____ 2. How would you rate yourself?
   a. Definitely hard-driving and competitive
   b. Probably hard-driving and competitive
   c. Probably relaxed and easy going
   d. Definitely relaxed and easy going

_____ 3. How do you consider yourself?
   a. More responsible than the average student
   b. As responsible as the average student
   c. Less responsible than the average student

_____ 4. Compared to the average student,
   a. I give much more effort
   b. I give an average amount of effort
   c. I give less effort

_____ 5. College has
   a. stirred me into action
   b. not stirred me into action

_____ 6. Compared to the average student
   a. I am more precise
   b. I am as precise
   c. I am less precise

_____ 7. Compared to the average student,
   a. I approach life much more seriously
   b. I approach life as seriously
   c. I approach life less seriously

_____ 8. How would most people rate you?
   a. Definitely hard-driving and competitive
   b. Probably hard-driving and competitive
c. Probably relaxed and easy going
d. Definitely relaxed and easy going

9. How would you rate yourself?
   a. Definitely NOT having less energy than most people
   b. Probably NOT having less energy than most people
   c. Probably having less energy than most people
   d. Definitely having less energy than most people

10. I frequently set deadlines for myself in courses or other things.
    a. Yes
    b. No
    c. Sometimes

11. Do you maintain a regular study schedule during vacations such as Thanksgiving, Christmas, and Easter?
    a. Yes
    b. No
    c. Sometimes

12. I hurry even when there is plenty of time
    a. Yes
    b. Once in a while
    c. Never

13. I have been told of eating too fast
    a. Yes
    b. Once in a while
    c. Never

14. How would you rate yourself?
    a. I eat more rapidly than most people
    b. I eat as rapidly as most people
    c. I eat less rapidly than most people

15. I hurry a speaker to the point.
    a. Frequently
    b. Once in a while
    c. Never

16. How would most people rate you?
    a. Definitely NOT doing most things in a hurry
    b. Probably NOT doing most things in a hurry
    c. Probably doing most things in a hurry
    d. Definitely doing most things in a hurry

17. Compared to the average student
    a. I hurry much less
    b. I hurry as much
    c. I hurry much more

18. How often are there deadlines in your courses?
    a. Frequently
    b. Once in a while
    c. Never
19. Everyday life is filled with challenges to be met
   a. Yes  
   b. No  
   c. Sometimes

20. I have held an office in an activity group or held a part-time job
    while in school.
   a. Frequently  
   b. Once in a while  
   c. Never

21. I stay in the library at night studying until closing
   a. Frequently  
   b. Once in a while  
   c. Never